

UN Guidelines on Statistical Business Registers Distribution of work

Norbert Rainer

**First meeting of the UN Committee of Experts on Business Statistics,
jointly organised by INEGI and UNSD**

Mexico City, 23 – 25 May 2018

Part X: UN Guidelines for SBR – Distribution of work

Content

- Goals of part X
- Background of the UN Guidelines on SBR
- Approaches to the drafting of the UN Guidelines
- Distribution of work between the members of the expert group
- Time table and related organisational issues

Goals of part X of the Expert meeting

- Presentation of the main background for drafting UN Guidelines for SBR
- Discussion on how the new texts will be integrated
- Refer to the action points discussed part IX
- Distribution of each action point to a member of the expert group
- Agreement on the time table and related organisational issues

Background of the UN Guidelines

Statistical Commission in March 2017:

- Endorsed the proposal to establish **United Nations guidelines** for statistical business registers based on the existing UNECE guidelines, and taking into account the **needs of countries with less-developed statistical systems** by incorporating practical guidance and country cases applicable to a broad range of statistical systems
- Requested the creation of a **committee of experts with balanced geographical representation** and with terms of reference to prepare the guidelines, and give guidance on issues of business and basic economic statistics, taking into account the use of administrative data, the choice of statistical units in the context of globalization, and issues related to a large informal sector

Approaches to the drafting of the UN Guidelines

- General approach of the “update” of the UNECE Guidelines: **all changes mean mostly additional text, not change of existing text** (Minutes of the Video-Conference on 17 January 2018)
- It is assumed that the additional text will be separate paragraphs throughout the whole current Guidelines
- The additional text/paragraphs should be clearly indicated as a new/additional text (for instance numbering of the new paragraphs with a, b, c, etc; similarly with new figures, boxes, etc.)
- In each chapter of the current guidelines a description of the additional paragraphs (and where and why) will be added in the introduction part of the chapter
- In chapter 1 of the current guidelines (“Introduction”) a summary of the additions will be given

Distribution of work between the members of the expert group

- Each action point discussed in part IX will be distributed to one of the members of the expert group for drafting the appropriate additional paragraphs
- Of course, a member of the expert group can take care of more than one action point
- An action point might deserve just one or more additional paragraphs
- For consistency reason it might be desirable that an expert member drafts all additional paragraphs of one chapter, at least those texts on issues that are much interrelated

Action points: Chapter 1 – Introduction (1)

1. Paragraph needed on importance of SBR for the implementation of **SNA 2008**
2. (para 1.18) additional examples, plus indication of **technical requirements to be able to keep all information** in the system.
3. (para 1.11 to 1.13) Instead of territorial area, we may want to refer to **residency and economic territory** as defined in the SNA 2008/ BPM6
4. Reference to **statistics on foreign affiliates**
5. (para 1.24) Because administrative data may not include all the information you want to be needed, it is **still necessary to conduct economic surveys** to meet those needs
6. (para 1.26) Use of **big data** that will be a new source of data, need to be studied and analyzed

Action points: Chapter 1 – Introduction (2)

6.
7.
8.

Action points: Chapter 2 – Roles of the SBR

1. (para 2.7) Can reference be made to experiences in **sharing micro-data** in secure environment?
2. Can we indicate a **priority ranking of administrative data** in their usefulness for SBR?
3. Can we use the **GSBPM** model for the process of creation and maintenance of the SBR, maybe in a separate chapter or as an annex
4.
5.
6.

Action points: Chapter 3 - Coverage of SBR (1)

1. (Chapter 3) An additional section should be drafted which takes into account the **circumstances in developing countries** with respect to the coverage of a Statistical Business Register.
2. (section 3.2). the concept of “**Residency**” should be added in this section.
3. (paras 3.18 – 3.27). It is suggested to complement this section with information from the chapter 11, section 11.11, mentioning the **relevance to cover the informal activities** where this sector is important (i.e. mainly for developing and emerging economies). → chapter 11 just refers to chapter 3
4. (para 3.17) Include the usefulness for specifying the **companies that use digital platforms (digital economy)** in the section of Non-Observed and Observed Economies due to the relevance of this topic.

Action points: Chapter 3 - Coverage of SBR (2)

- 5.
- 6.
- 7.

Action points: Chapter 4 – Units of the SBR (1)

1. (section 4.1) The legal unit definition could be expanded, since this is so important. Could more advice be provided on **how to build enterprises from legal units**, and in what circumstances the enterprise is not equal to the legal unit?
2. (section 4.2) It is mentioned that in a national SBR the delineation of an enterprise is normally restricted to the national territory. We suggest to clearly delineate between the **concept of residence** and any alternative presentation of statistics.
3. (section 4.3) More examples are needed on **identifying Enterprise Groups in Practice**.
4. (para 4.53) The address of a GGH, is also a location for an economic unit (enterprise) to do the operation of itself. Could the **address of a GGH** always identified an enterprise also? Maybe with the activity of head office.

Action points: Chapter 4 – Units of the SBR (2)

5. (section 4.3.3) The definition of "truncated enterprise group" (the part of a multinational enterprise group that comprises only the legal units resident in the particular country is defined to be a "truncated enterprise group" within that country) seems to refer to the concept of "local enterprise group" as defined in BPM6 4.55-4.56: local (or territory-specific) enterprise group refers to an investor and the legal entities under that investor that are resident in the same economy (see also CDIS Guide, and OECD Benchmark Definition of Foreign Direct Investment). A reference could be made to this term of "local enterprise group" as opposed to the "multinational enterprise group" or "global enterprise group".
6. (para 4.83) In *International Recommendations for Industrial Statistics*, it is mentioned that an ancillary unit which is located in a geographically different location will be treated as an establishment. In Indonesia, we tried to identify ancillary unit besides establishment when we did the 2016 economic census. How do we treat ancillary units in SBR? How to maintain its data? How to utilize the data gathered about the units and what analysis should we make?

Action points: Chapter 4 – Units of the SBR (3)

7. (section 4.10) Examples from developing countries should be added in this part. More examples should be added focusing on **local statistical units** besides the circumstances of outward foreign affiliates.
8. (section 4.10) **Updates on SPEs** could be given from recent work at IMF and others.
9.
10.
11.

Action points: Chapter 5 – Characteristics of units (1)

1. (section 5.2) We suggest defining multinational domestically controlled and multinational foreign controlled. The **terms are used in the text, but are not defined.**
2.
3.
4.

Action points: Chapter 6 – Data sources for the SBR (1)

1. (chapter 6) One of the **data sources still the establishments census**. The guideline should deal with that.
2. (section 6.1) It would be recommendable to explicitly include the **economic census** as an example of statistical sources. This comment applies to paragraph 6.8 too.
3. (para 6.29) It would be recommendable to include **examples where both establishment and enterprises are built as statistical units** in the SBR
4. (section 6.3) **Tax authorities are the ones most reluctant to release individual data** in spite of requirements of statistical acts. Must be the case in several countries (how to address this issue?) Secondly, how to ensure common and **correct economic classification codes** in the data bases of the different data sources. Should 'description of activities' be a must? What is the common practice in countries?

Action points: Chapter 6 – Data sources for the SBR (2)

5. (section 6.3) More should be said about **non-tax data sources** and their strengths and weaknesses. For example, how complete are **utility company lists**. It would be good to get some examples for non-tax authority data sources. Agree that more advice needed on gaining access to tax data, and also creating regular supply with confidence that supply will be maintained.
6. (para 6.58) This is a big deal in many countries, therefore it would be desirable to know experiences of NSI's which have successfully reconciled **legislation to allow data sharing for statistical purposes**. --> UNECE Generic law
7. (section 6.5.2) It would be convenient to include a paragraph mentioning that the countries should analyze the **cost/benefit balance in order to make the decision to identify local units or establishments**.

Action points: Chapter 6 – Data sources for the SBR (3)

8. (section 6.6.2) What happens in the case where **small and medium size units in the SBR are not maintained**? The SBR population of small units progressively grows in size where births are introduced via administrative sources and deaths are not removed. This is a reality since due to the lack of resources (when a choice has to be made between maintaining large and small units) often the population of small businesses in the SBR is not maintained.
9. (section 6.6.2) The role of the **economic census** is perhaps controversial, but are these more common in developing countries? More needs to be said on these and approached to keeping up to date in the long period between censuses.
10. (section 6.6.4) It is suggested to provide a diagram with the **profiling steps** in order to facilitate its comprehension with examples from several regions and countries.

Action points: Chapter 6 – Data sources for the SBR (4)

11. (section 6.8) **Additional explanation** and examples are necessary.
12. (section 6.9) Country experience needed on **agricultural units**, particularly when these are mostly small ones and operate in an informal way is difficult to obtain from administrative sources and surveys are costly.
13. More context is needed on the **cooperation of statistics agencies and public institutions that provide administrative data** for preparing the SBR
14. Many developing countries do not have a network of administrative registers yet since they do not have a **unique public identifier** for the administrative units. For this reason, it would be convenient to include a detailed methodology about the actions needed to build this network – if the administrative registers are enough good for this goal and how to build an SBR from economic censuses.

Action points: Chapter 6 – Data sources for the SBR (5)

15.

16.

17.

Action points: Chapter 7 - Maintenance of SBR

1. (para 7.9) Include the **economic census** as an additional source of information.
2. (para 7.19) **Different SBR updating scenarios** could be presented showing varying periodicities that depend upon the statistical infrastructure, the availability and quality of administrative and statistical sources, objectives and resources of the countries.
3.
4.
5.

Action points: Chapter 8 – Survey frame methodology

1. (para 9.27) The **Mexican example of DENUÉ** will be updated since RENEM (the Mexican SBR) was created in 2015, now DENUÉ is the public part of RENEM
2.
3.
4.

Action points: Chapter 9 – Dissemination

- None??

Action points: Chapter 10 – Quality of SBR

1. (section 10.4) Further clarification of the **hyper dimension topic** would be necessary in order to improve the in-depth understanding about the implementation of quality assurance measures.
2.
3.
4.

Action points: Chapter 11 – Key considerations in establishing an SBR (1)

1. Proposal to use of **SDMX** for Business Registers.
2. Further explanations about the creation and use of standard templates and software programs to **automate SBR information extraction** would be of great help.
3. It would be desirable (and great!) establishing **basic characteristics to create an ID** at internal level to facilitate the identification of economic units for statistical purposes (taking into account the issues and practices in several countries worldwide) the identification number must keep homogeneity at the international level according to the unit classification mentioned in this chapter.

Action points: Chapter 11 – Key considerations in establishing an SBR (2)

- 4.
- 5.
- 6.

Action points: Chapter 12 – Topics for further work and research

1. (para 12.2) The survey conducted by Facebook, the World Bank and the OECD, entitled Future Business Survey (<https://eu.futureofbusinesssurvey.org>), could be considered in the research agenda. It analyzes Small and Medium Enterprises (SMEs) in the digital commerce environment. This survey generates tools that are useful, not only for the SBR but also for the creation and providing elements to new indicators such as those related to digital commerce.
2.
3.
4.

Time table and related organisational issues

- Each new paragraph should be labelled where it should be inserted in the current guidelines
- The draft should consider the current text so that it can smoothly be inserted
- UNSD points:
 - Time table for the drafts
 - Organisation
 - Wiki

**Thank you very much
for your attention!**

Norbert Rainer

norbert_rainer@aon.at